

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

Public airwaves,
owned by the people
of the United States
are being used by
some faceless
corporation to tell
them how to think,
and how to behave.
This is a mockery of
our laws, and the
rules of fair play
and openness that
our country was
founded on. The
only way that
Sinclair can even
remotely consider
themselves acting in
the public interest
they are legally
obligated to serve
would be for them to
immediately follow
their coerced
presentation with
something like a
showing of Michael
Moore's "Fahrenheit
9/11". Otherwise, I
do not see how any
rational human being
can consider
Sinclair's actions
anything other than
electioneering for
the Republican
party.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.